MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COMMISSION
OF FINE ARTS
15 September 1964

INDEX

	PAGE
I. ADMINISTRATION	
1. Minutes	1
2. Date of Next Meeting	1
3. National Capital Planning Commission	1
II. SUBMISSIONS-REVIEWS-INTERVIEWS	
1. General Services Administration, Public Bldgs Svc	
Federal Office Building No. 5, Independence Avenue and Tenth St., S.W., Revised Design	1-2
2. District of Columbia Government, Department of Licenses and Inspections	
a. Office Bldg, 500 North Capital St., N.W.	2-3
b. Office Bldg, 12th St. & Pa. Ave., N.W.	3
3. National Capital Downtown Committee	
Air-Rights Structures, Center Leg, Inner loop	4
4. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, National Capital Region	
a. Franklin D. Roosevelt Memorial Stone, Res. 36, Indiana Ave., Pennsylvania Ave., & 9th St., N.W.	4
b. Harvard St. Overpass, Rock Creek & Potomac Pkway	5
5. American Battle Monuments Commission	
a. Monuments at Tarawa, Wake, etc.	5
b. Memorial for Utah Beach	5
6. Department of the Navy	
a. Operations & Tech Svcs Bldg, Naval Research Lab	6
b. Photographic Center, Anacostia Naval Station	6

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COMMISSION
OF FINE ARTS
15 September 1964

INDEX

PAGE

I. ADMINISTRATION

1. Minutes 1
2. Date of Next Meeting 1
3. National Capital Planning Commission 1

II. SUBMISSIONS-REVIEWS-INTERVIEWS

1. General Services Administration, Public Bldgs Svc

Federal Office Building No. 5, Independence Avenue
and Tenth St., S.W., Revised Design 1-2

2. District of Columbia Government, Department of Licenses and Inspections

a. Office Bldg, 500 North Capital St., N.W. 2-3
b. Office Bldg, 12th St. & Pa. Ave., N.W. 3

3. National Capital Downtown Committee

Air-Rights Structures, Center Leg, Inner Loop 4

4. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, National Capital Region

a. Franklin D. Roosevelt Memorial Stone, Res. 36,
Indiana Ave., Pennsylvania Ave., & 9th St., N.W. 4
b. Harvard St. Overpass, Rock Creek & Potomac Pkway 5

5. American Battle Monuments Commission

a. Monuments at Tarawa, Wake, etc. 5
b. Memorial for Utah Beach 5

6. Department of the Navy

a. Operations & Tech Svcs Bldg, Naval Research Lab 6
b. Photographic Center, Anacostia Naval Station 6

PAGE

7. Department of the Army

a. Office of the Chief of Support Services

Enlargement of Arlington Cemetery 6-7

b. Institute of Heraldry

(1) Secretary of the Navy Commendation for Achievement Award 7
(2) Naval Reserve Meritorious Svc Medal 7

8. Department of State

Presentation of Statue of Lincoln to Mexico 7-8

9. District of Columbia Government

a. Department of Highways and Traffic

Ninth Street Expressway Under the Mall, C St., S.W. to Constitution Avenue 8

b. Department of Buildings and Grounds

Gladys McGogney Elementary School, Wheeler Road and Mississippi Ave., S.E. 8

c. Department of Licenses and Inspections

(1) Shipstead-Luce Submissions
(a) Office Bldg, 17th and Pa. Ave, N.W. 9
(b) Apartment House, 2201 Va. Ave., N.W. 9
(c) S.L. Applications, Appendix 1 9

(2) Old Georgetown Act Building Applications 9

10. General Services Administration, Public Blsgs Svc

a. National Air and Space Museum 9-10
b. Government Printing Office Field Plant 10

11. National Capital Plaaning Commission

Building Heights Study 1-

IV. LEGISLATION 10

7. Department of the Army

a. Office of the Chief of Support Services

Enlargement of Arlington Cemetery 6-7

b. Institute of Heraldry

(1) Secretary of the Navy Commendation for
Achievement Award 7
(2) Naval Reserve Meritorious Svc Medal 7

8. Department of State

Presentation of Statue of Lincoln to Mexico 7-8

9. District of Columbia Government

a. Department of Highways and Traffic

Ninth Street Expressway Under the Mall, C St.,
S.W. to Constitution Avenue 8

b. Department of Buildings and Grounds

Gladys McCoguey Elementary School, Wheeler Road
and Mississippi Ave., S.E. 8

c. Department of Licenses and Inspections

(1) Shipstead-Luce Submissions
(a) Office Bldg, 17th and Pa. Ave, N.W. 9
(b) Apartment House, 2201 Va. Ave., N.W. 9
(c) S.L. Applications, Appendix 1 9

(2) Old Georgetown Act Building Applications 9

10. General Services Administration, Public Bldgs Svc

a. National Air and Space Museum 9-10
b. Government Printing Office Field Plant 10

11. National Capital Planning Commission

Building Heights Study 1-

IV. LEGISLATION 10

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS
15 September 1964

The Commission met, pursuant to notice, in executive session, at 10:15 a.m., with William Walton, Chairman, presiding.

Present were: Members - William Walton
Gordon Bunshaft
Burnham Kelly
Theodore Roszak
Aline Saarinen
Hideo Sasaki
John Carl Warnecke

Staff: L. R. Wilson, Secretary
C. H. Atherton, Asst. Secy
C. L. Martin, Counsel
Myra Younker, Editor
Leone M. Vialpando, Recorder

I. ADMINISTRATION

1. Minutes

The Assistant Secretary reported that the minutes of the meeting of the Commission which was held on the 23rd and 24th of June had been forwarded to and approved by the members.

2. Date of Next Meeting

The date selected for the next meeting of the Commission was the 20th and 21st of October 1964.

3. National Capital Planning Commission

The Assistant Secretary introduced Mr. Donald Bozarth, new liaison member of the National Capital Planning Commission, who attended and will attend the meetings of the Commission of Fine Arts.

II. SUBMISSIONS-REVIEWS-INTERVIEWS

1. General Services Administration, Public Buildings Service

Federal Office Building No. 5, Independence Avenue and Tenth Street, S.W. - Revised Design

Mr. Karel H. Yasko, Assistant Commissioner for Design and Construction, and Mr. J. Rowland Snyder, Director, Design Division,

MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COMMISSION OF
FINE ARTS
15 September 1969

The Commission met, pursuant to notice, in executive session.
at 10:15 a.m., with William Walton, Chairman, presiding.

Present were: Members - William Walton
Gordon Bunshaft
Burnham Kelly
Theodore Roszak
Aline Saarinen
Hideo Sasaki
John Carl Warnecke

Staff: L. R. Wilson, Secretary
C. H. Atherton, Asst. Secy
C. L. Martin, Counsel
Myra Young, Editor
Leone M. Vialpando, Recorder

I. ADMINISTRATION

1. Minutes

The Assistant Secretary reported that the minutes of the meeting of the Commission which was held on the 23rd and 24th of June had been forwarded to and approved by the members.

2. Date of Next Meeting

The date selected for the next meeting of the Commission was the 20th and 21st of October 1969.

3. National Capital Planning Commission

The Assistant Secretary introduced Mr. Donald Bozarth, new liaison member of the National Capital Planning Commission, who attended and will attend the meetings of the Commission of Fine Arts.

II. SUBMISSIONS-REVIEWS-INTERVIEWS

1. General Services Administration, Public Buildings Service

Federal Office Building No. 5, Independence Avenue and Tenth Street, S.W. - Revised Design

Mr. Kamal H. Yasko, Assistant Commissioner for Design and Construction, and Mr. J. Rowland Snyder, Director, Design Division,

General Services Administration, Public Buildings Service; Mr. Nathaniel Curtis, Mr. Bernard Grad, and Mr. David Dibner, consulting architects; and Mr. Embrey of the Department of Defense, met with the Commission to discuss the revised design of Federal Office Building No. 5.

Mr. Curtis explained the revised design which had incorporated the recommendations of the Commission made during the 20 May 1964 meeting. The parking lot beneath the plaza in the rear of the building had been rearranged so that a two-way vehicular traffic was permitted from the ends of the main building. People commutting by bus would enter the building from the lower level. Circulation plans and approaches from the Tenth Street Mall now permitted passengers to be discharged in that area. The cores of the main building along Independence Avenue were consolidated into two areas which permitted a stronger emphasis of the main entrance. The acquisition by the Government of property near the Pennsylvania Railroad tracks on the southwest corner of the site made possible plans to place the service section beneath that area and to landscape the area above. Without this land, there was no assurance that the setting for the project would be completed properly. The tall 8-story doughnut-shaped building had opaque walls. The cafeteria building was planned as a unit that could be left open after office hours.

The members were pleased with the architects' attempts to incorporate their recommendations. They thought that the tall opaque mass to the rear of the long building required further study and refinement. The inclusion of irregularly spaced glass panels had given a strong vertical feeling to the facade but the members thought that the effect of this treatment with the clear structural expression of the long building, spanning Tenth Street, would result in ambiguity between the two masses. Regular spacing of window openings related to a common module, recognition of floor levels, and the termination of the vertical panels in a specific line to avoid the appearance of being arbitrarily cut off were possibilities discussed with the architects.

Further studies in the form of simple sketches and models will be submitted to the Commission.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's views. EXHIBIT A

2. District of Columbia Government, Department of Licenses and Inspections

1. Office Building,500 North Capital Street, N.W. - Revised Design

Mr. William Lescaze, consulting architect, explained the drawings for the office building which had incorporated the recommendations of the Commission at the previous meeting.

A continuous loggia had been designed around the ground and penthouse

floors. Pre-cast concrete columns introduced on the facades reflected the interior framing system and eliminated the traditional window openings in an unbroken wall. All sides of the building would be treated with an aggregate finish. Two small reflecting pools with planter boxes were planned for the entrance.

The Commission approved the plans and requested that samples of material be presented when the construction drawings are submitted.

A report of the Commission's action was forwarded to the Department of Licenses and Inspections.

b. Office Building, 12th Street and Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.- Revised Design

Mr. Edmund Dreyfuss, architect, presented the drawings and compared the changes to the design submitted previously. The penthouse areas had been set back at an equal distance from the exterior walls of the building. The facade had a module effect on the three sides giving a feeling of horizontal and vertical members, a square expression, rather than just vertical. The shape of the glass was more equalized and less directional.

After Mr. Dreyfuss left the room the members of the Commission discussed the revised design which lacked distinction for its prominent site. Although the architect had incorporated the changes recommended by the members during the June meeting, the changes appeared superficial alterations to the general scheme and designed to comply with the members' comments. The Commission had tried to raise the standard of the design toward a level worthy of this site.

The standards which the Commission feels are necessary include proportion, scale, depth, relief, and relationship to the surroundings. The original design presented and the revised designs that followed did not reveal these factors.

Submitted under the Shipstead-Luce Act authorized the protect the buildings of the Federal Triangle from those of inferior design that detract from the dignity of the Avenue, this design bore no relationship to the Triangle buildings. There was no evidence of re-thinking of the entire plan to develop an outstanding design.

The members reluctantly concluded that further submissions with only minor superficial changes in the design would be futile. They recommended that a fundamental new approach be taken to achieve a design worthy of this site in the heart of the Nation's Capital.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's views. EXHIBIT B

3. National Capital Downtown Committee, Inc.

Air-Rights Structures, Center Leg, Inner Loop

M r. Melvin F. Levine, Chief Planner of the National Capital Downtown Committee and Mr. F. Donald Lethbridge, architect, explained preliminary plans for a terminal structure, a full block wide between 2nd and 3rd Streets and D and F Streets, N.W. The structure would be used as a bus terminal and parking garage for 2000 to 4000 cars. The proposed building would be pure structure and would be 800 feet in length, and approximately 90 feet in height (150 feet included dome of the structure). There would be a street level, terminal level, and an undetermined number of garage levels.

Recognizing that the need for an auto terminal exists, the members of the Commission thought the design problem, as presented, was not handled well. The plans should relate to the total structure of the city and not be an island rising above, and very much surrounded by, traffic channels. The principal objection was the bulk of structure necessary for this project and its relationship to the Capitol building. Any building of this size would be completely unsuitable to the site.

The members discussed the project with the representatives of the Downtown Committee attending the meeting. No formal report was required.

4. Department of Interior, National Park Service, National Capital Region

a. Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial Stone, Reservation 36, Indiana Avenue, Pennsylvania Avenue, and Ninth Street, N.W.

Mr. Robert Horne, Associate Regional Director, National Park Service, representing Mr. Conrad Wirth, former Director of the National Park Service, and friends of President Franklin Delano Roosevelt, presented a plan for a memorial to him as proposed by his friends. A natural boulder would be placed in the center of the small park in front of the Archives Building. Members of the Roosevelt family and the Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial Commission concurred in this proposal.

The members of the Commission of Fine Arts thought that a stone similar to the one at Hyde Park would be more appropriate in this park which is surrounded by classical buildings. The members recommended that the final design should include a bronze plaque with the remarks of President Roosevelt to Justice Frankfurter that this site and type of marker were his desire for a memorial.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's views. EXHIBIT C

b. Harvard Street Overpass, Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway

Mr. Charles W. Lessig of the National Capital Region, explained the drawings submitted for the Harvard Street Overpass, a bridge connecting Harvard Street with the Zoo and bridging Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway and Rock Creek. The overall design was simple and appeared suitable to the character of Rock Creek Park. The structure and supports of the bridge would be concrete. On the bridge, a gate was necessary to prevent people and cars coming in at night. Aluminum was planned to be used for the gate which would be parallel to the roadway when open.

The members recommended that the excessive height of the gate be reduced to six feet, the height of the fence, or lower, that the profile of the bridge span should be limited to a single curvature, and that the aluminum railings should be a dark color and not shiny.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's views. EXHIBIT D

5. American Battle Monuments Commission

a. Monuments at Tarawa, Wake, etc.

The Assistant Secretary read a letter of 21 July 1964 from the American Battle Monuments Commission (EXHIBIT E) requesting the approval of the Commission of Fine Arts of Kneedler, Mirick and Zantsinger, architectural firm of Philadelphia, selected to design monuments at Tarawa, Wake, Etc.

The members requested that the American Battle Monuments Commission furnish information concerning the type of"small monuments" planned, and photographs of the architectural firm's most recent work before their approval could be given.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's request. EXHIBIT F

b. Memorial for Utah Beach

The Assistant Secretary read a letter of 20 July 1964 (EXHIBIT G) from the American Battle Monuments Commission requesting the approval of the Commission of Fine Arts of Mr. Paul Thiry, architect of Seattle, Washington, selected to design a memorial at Utah Beach. Knowing Mr. Thiry's work, the members approved his selection.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's approval. EXHIBIT H

6. Department of the Navy

a. Operations and Technical Services Building, Naval Research Laboratory

The Assistant Secretary presented revised elevations and site plan for the building which indicated a smaller overhang on the cornice and the retention of the column faces and floor slabs as recommended by the Commission during the June meeting. The members approved the revised plan with the suggestion that the seal be lowered to a position below the canopy and adjacent to the entranceway.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's approval. EXHIBIT I

b. Photographic Center, Anacostia Naval Station

The Assistant Secretary presented plans for a one floor addition to an existing three floor building, with provision for possible expansion of the addition to three floors.

Noting that there was no reasonable alternative to providing for the additional spaces required the members could only advise that informal clusters of oaks be planted along the east side and both ends to screen the area from its prominent location near the South Capitol Street bridge.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's views. EXHIBIT J

7. Department of the Army

a. Office of the Chief of Support Services

Enlargement of Arlington Cemetery

The Assistant Secretary presented the General Development Plan for the expansion of Arlington National Cemetery into the Fort Myer South Post area. Having long favored this plan, the members were glad to learn that it was being implemented ahead of its original schedule.

The overall development of the area is scheduled in three increments with 31.5 acres in the first increment which includes portions of the old horse show grounds in the northern area and portions in the southern area of South Post now occupied by temporary personnel barracks. In the plan, existing trees would be retained, where possible, and many shade trees added.

Construction work is scheduled to start by 15 February 1965. The second and third increments will be presented later.

The members thought that further study might be given to a slight enlargement of the south area to permit an entire loop road which would result in better temporary circulation. The members suggested that a new location for the visitor parking area and the service area should be studied in detail when the second and third increments are developed.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's approval and recommendations. EXHIBIT K

B. Institute of Heraldry

(1) Secretary of the Navy Commendation for Achievement Award

The Assistant Secretary presented three proposed designs for the Achievement Award medal. The members selected the design having an anchor symbolic of the Naval Service, with laurel branches signifying commendable achievement in the Naval profession.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's choice. EXHIBIT L

(2) Naval Reserve Meritorious Service Medal

The Assistant Secretary presented four proposed designs for the medal to be presented to enlisted men in the Naval Reserve. The members approved the design having a trident with the words "zeal, discipline, leadership" superimposed in small letters.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's choice. EXHIBIT M

8. Department of State

Presentation of Statue of Lincoln to Mexico

The Assistant Secretary stated that the Department of State had been authorized by Public Law 80-399, 4 August 1964 (EXHIBIT N) to procure a statue of Lincoln for presentation by the United States to Mexico to commemorate the anniversary of Mexico's independence.

The Commission considered the advisability of procuring a replica of an existing statue of Lincoln instead of an original. Although the Commission has urged on other occasions that an original work be commissioned the members realized that circumstances such as lack of time and limited

funds, prevented an original design. A replica of the statue of Lincoln by Augustus Saint-Gaudens located in Chicago was recommended. The members did not feel the seated figure of Lincoln by Daniel Chester French in the memorial in Washington should be duplicated. The Commission is concerned with the suitability of the site and suggested that plans be submitted in the early stages of the design.

The Chairman was authorized to send a letter stating the Commission's views. EXHIBIT O

9. District of Columbia Government

a. Department of Highways and Traffic

Ninth Street Expressway Under the Mall, C Street, S.W. to Constitution Avenue

The Assistant Secretary presented a general plan and profile of the Ninth Street Expressway with photographs of the surrounding buildings and the Twelfth Street Expressway. Compared to the Twelfth Street Mall, the Ninth Street Expressway would have an alternating series of abutments done in a natural stone. The heavy railing would be the same for both Expressways.

The members thought that there should be no variation in the height of the land above, that dressed stone should be used, and that the rail should be continuous.

Final action was withheld until a conference with representatives of the Department of Highways and Traffic on the following day.

b. Department of Buildings and Grounds

Gladys McGogney Elementary School, Wheeler Road and Mississippi Avenue, S.E.

The Assistant Secretary presented revised design sketches and a model of the school designed by Johannes and Murray & Associates, architectural firm.

The new site for the school at the top of the hill and away from a well-travelled street, permitted better use of land. The various functions of the school were separated into three buildings. These changes were recommended by the Commission during the May meeting.

Final action was withheld until a conference with the architects on the following day.

c. Department of Licenses and Inspections

(1) Shipstead-Luce Submissions

(a) Office Building, 17th St. & Pennsylvania Ave., N.W.

The Assistant Secretary presented plans submitted by Edwin Weihe, architect, for the office building to be erected across the street from the Executive Office Building. The plans indicated a recessed pre-cast concrete panel of exposed aggregate and quartz aggregate finish with a 20 foot bay spacing.

After reviewing the plans, the members of the Commission requested that the treatment of the northwest and southwest corners be studied further. Recommendations were made that the bays be respaced to provide a column at the corners or to recess the overhanging corner 10 feet to provide a termination of the building at the column line.

The Chairman was authorized to send a report stating the Commission's recommendations.

(b) Apartment House, 2201 Virginia Avenue, N.W.

The Assistant Secretary presented detailed drawings and samples of materials submitted by Gitlin and Cantor & Associates, architectural firm, for the apartment building to be erected at 2201 Virginia Ave., N.W.

After reviewing the drawings and materials, the members of the Commission requested that less contrasting materials be selected to obtain a quieter tonal quality on the facades. Recommendations were also made that the window frames be darker and less glossy, the design of the air conditioning grills be simplified by using an architectural element rather than a decorative element, and that the door to the elevator machine room be located in a less conspicuous place.

The Chairman was authorized to send a report stating the Commission's recommendations.

(c) Shipstead-Luce Act Applications-Appendix 1

The Commission confirmed the recommendations for the remaining applications listed on the appendix.

(2) Old Georgetown Act Building Applications

The Commission confirmed the recommendations for the remaining applications listed on the Appendix 2.

10. General Services Administration, Public Buildings Service

a. National Air and Space Museum - Revised Design

The Assistant Secretary reported that drawings for the National Air and Space Museum had been submitted and would be explained by Mr. Gyo Obata, architect, on the following day. The basic changes

were made to meet the objections of the Commission to a pre-cast appearance of the building.

A complete review of the project was not necessary until a conference with the architect on the following day.

b. Government Printing Office Field Plant, Navy Yard Annex

The Assistant Secretary presented drawings and photostat of renderings of the Government Printing Office Field Plant project as designed by Clas and Riggs, architectural firm. The site had been approved by the National Capital Planning Commission.

The single-story structure would be built first and contain the printing functions as multilith machines. The two-story addition would be constructed in front of the low building. Pre-cast concrete and brick would be used for the exterior materials. The architects had made an attempt to eliminate all projections from the roof and planned to treat the gravel on the roof with a design.

Final action was withheld until a conference with the architect on the following day.

11. National Capital Planning Commission

Building Heights Study

A report drafted by the staff of the National Capital Planning Commission on the Building Heights Study was distributed to the members for future review. As explained by the Assistant Secretary, the Planning Commission had accepted their staff's recommendation to reduce the property to one and a half acres from 5 acres as now specified in the Zoning Regulations, Article 75 (Miscellaneous Provisions, Section 7501-Site Plans for Large-Scale Planned Development of Five Acres or More).

A copy of the study is EXHIBIT P.

IV. LEGISLATION

The Counsel for the Commission reviewed H.J.Res. 1052, 88th Congress, a joint resolution "Authorizing the First Cavalry Division Association to erect a monument in the District of Columbia." The members had no objections to the purposes of the bill and suggested that the resolution be amended to authorize the First Cavalry Division Association to erect a monument in the District of Columbia or "its environs." This amendment would permit flexibility in the selection of a site for the monument. EXHIBIT Q

Thereafter, at 5:30 p.m., the meeting recessed until 9:30 a.m. the following day.

1 October 1964

Dear [illegible]:

The formulation of plans for the setting of [illegible] considered detailed design studies of [illegible] have been developed since the project was last submitted in May.

For the most part the changes that the Commission discussed with the architects regarding auto circulation and approaches from the 10th Street Mall have been carefully incorporated into the new design and are an improvement over earlier solutions. We are also glad to learn that GSA has acquired control of additional land [illegible] the Pennsylvania Railroad tracks on the southeast corner of the site, since without this land there was no assurance that the setting of the building could have been properly completed.

What still concerns the Commission, however, is the exterior treatment of the large mass to the rear of the building spanning 10th Street. As presently proposed it would be surfaced with a random pattern of panels that would give a strong feeling of verticality to the facades. This is not in itself bad but when seen together with the clear structural expression of the tower building, the effect of the treatment becomes more decorative than architectural and results in ambiguity between the two masses.

We believe this effect can be avoided and still retain the basic character proposed by the architect if certain elements of the design are repeated in both forms, e.g., regular spacing of window openings related to a common module; recognition of floor levels, and the termination of the vertical panels in a definite line in order to avoid the appearance of being arbitrarily cut off. These and other possibilities were discussed with the architects and should be thoroughly explored.

We will be glad to look at any new studies whenever they become available. These could be in form of simple sketches,

EXHIBIT A

although we did find the models very helpful in judging the overall [illegible] of the two different treatments on the facades.

The next meeting of the Commission is scheduled for 20-21 October.

For the Commission of Fine Arts:

Sincerely yours,

Gilmore D. Clarke
Chairman

Honorable Bernard Boutin
Administrator
General Services Administration
Washington, D.C.

THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS

ESTABLISHED BY CONGRESS MAY 17, 1910

WILLIAM WALTON, Chairman
GORDON BUNSHAFT — ALINE B. SAARINEN
BURNHAM KELLY — HIDEO SASAKI
THEODORE ROSZAK — JOHN CARL WARNECKE
LINTON R. WILSON, Secretary

16 September 1964

INTERIOR DEPARTMENT BUILDING
WASHINGTON

Dear Mr. Green:

I am writing in regard to design proposals for an office building at 415 12th Street, N.W., the site of the old Raleigh Hotel which has been razed.

As you know, the Commission of Fine Arts considers this proposal one of the most important to be presented in recent years under the Shipstead-Luce Act, an importance based on the prominence of its location on Pennsylvania Avenue facing the largest concentration of Federal buildings in the city.

The Commission, at its September 15th meeting, sat down with the architect and owner for the fifth time, to look at slightly revised drawings. As at previous meetings our effort was bent toward raising the quality of the design toward a level worthy of such a site.

The intent of the Shipstead-Luce Act was to protect the great buildings of the Federal Triangle from neighbors who might detract from the dignity of the Avenue. The proposals that successively have been presented to us for the 12th Street corner have never yet come up to the standard that we feel is necessary, standards of proportion, scale and richness of detail. After each of our sessions the following submission has shown merely superficial alterations to the general scheme, designed to comply with comments by members of the Commission. Never has there been evidence of re-thinking the entire plan to raise it above the mere mediocrity that we feel is inadmissible on Pennsylvania Avenue.

Defining what a building should be in words is difficult, but what we want is a building of sufficient distinction to stand up across from the very impressive facades of the Federal buildings across the Avenue. Reluctantly the members of the Commission have come to the conclusion that further submissions with only minor superficial changes in the design as submitted September 15th would be fruitless. The Commission recommends that a fundamental new approach be taken to achieve a design worthy of this site in the heart of the Nation's Capital.

For the Commission of Fine Arts:

Sincerely yours,

William Walton
Chairman

Mr. Julian P. Green
Assistant Superintendent of
Licenses & Permits
Washington, D. C.

EXHIBIT B

1 October 1964

Dear Mr. Wirth:

At its meeting on September 15th, the Commission of Fine Arts discussed the stone memorial to President Franklin D. Roosevelt that is proposed just north of the Archives Building along Pennsylvania Avenue.

The Commission feels this small marker would be most appropriate, especially in view of President Roosevelt's own remarks to Justice Frankfurter that this was how he wanted to be memorialized. This fact alone will give the memorial a quality of uniqueness that no other memorial can have, and the conversation certainly should be recorded somewhere in the final design, perhaps a bronze plaque attached to the stone.

The most critical element, of course, is the stone itself, and in this we differ with the naturalistic design proposed by the Park Service at our meeting.

In our view the very formal and severe setting of Archives and the Federal Triangle demands a stone of similar character, simple and geometric, but highly refined in its proportions and character of material.

We are not suggesting another Inscription such as the one at Hyde Park -- although that comes quickly to mind -- but something along the more general character would appear to be more appropriate.

This element, along with the landscaping, will have to be studied with great care, since the success of this part of design depends very much on the degree of refinement obtained in the smallest detail.

As to the part, we must study third dimension of the model, and we would be glad to meet with you and review the new material whenever appropriate.

Sincerely yours,

William Walton
Chairman

Mr. Conrad Wirth
83 Wellyn Road
Bronxville, New York 10708

EXHIBIT C

1 October 1964

Dear Mr. Hartzog:

At its meeting on 15 September the Commission of Fine Arts considered a design for the Harvard Street footbridge, a bridge connecting Harvard Street with the Zoo and bridging Rock Creek and Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway.

There were several questions about the design. The height of the gateway seemed excessive at eight feet, especially since the rest of the fence around the Zoo is only six feet high. The gate should be reduced to six feet, possibly a little bit more.

The profile of the bridge span should also be limited to a single curvature. The slight depression on the side toward the Zoo looked like the foundations had settled in that location.

Finally, the aluminum railings should be a dark color and not shiny or bright which looks out of place in a park.

Aside from these small details, the Commission thinks the overall design is simple and attractive and will fit in with the character of Rock Creek Park very well.

For the Commission of Fine Arts:

Sincerely yours,

William Walton
Chairman

Mr. George Hartzog
Director
National Park Service
Washington, D.C.

EXHIBIT D

THE AMERICAN BATTLE MONUMENTS COMMISSION

ESTABLISHED BY CONGRESS, MARCH 1923

JACOB L. DEVERS, Chairman
THOMAS C. KINKAID, Vice Chairman

LESLIE L. BIFFLE
ALEXANDER A. VANDEGRIFT
CHARLES E. POTTER
CARL SPAATZ
JOSEPH C. DUKE

T. HARRY GATTON
MRS. CHARLES G. PETERS
SIDNEY SALOMON, JR.
AUSTIN THOMAS WALDEN
THOMAS NORTH, Secretary

WASHINGTON 25, D.C.

July 21, 1964

Mr. Linton R. Wilson
Secretary
Commission of Fine Arts
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Wilson:

As part of its project of commemoration of World War II the American Battle Monuments Commission contemplates the erection of a series of relatively small monuments at places such as Tarawa, Wake, etc., which were made famous in history but which are less accessible than, for example, the overseas cemeteries. These monuments would be in general of the same design with appropriate differences in inscription, foundations, etc.

Upon the recommendation of the Commission's Consulting Architect, Mr. John Harbeson, the Commission proposes to offer the task of designing such monuments to Kneedler, Mirick and Zantzinger of Philadelphia.

The American Battle Monuments Commission will be grateful to learn if the Commission of Fine Arts concurs in the suitability of this selection.

Faithfully yours,

Thos North

THOMAS NORTH
Major General, USA
Secretary

EXHIBIT F

1 October 1964

Dear General North:

The Commission of Fine Arts discussed your letter regarding the proposed memorials on Wake and Tarawa Islands at their meeting on September 16.

Before commenting on the selection of Kneedler, Mirick and Zantzinger as architects of the project, the Commission would like to see photographs of their more recent work, and also, if the information is available, some idea about the scope of the memorials, such as size, budget, etc.

For the Commission of Fine Arts:

Sincerely yours,

William Walton
Chairman

Major General Thomas North
Secretary
The American Battle Monuments
Commission
Washington, D.C.

EXHIBIT F

July 20, 1954

Mr. Linton R. Wilson
Secretary
Commission of Fine Arts
Washington, D. C.

Dear Mr. Wilson:

Having decided to erect a memorial at Utah Beach to commemorate the landings of the American Forces there in 1944, the American Battle Monuments Commission now has the responsibility of inviting an architect to design the project. Upon the recommendation of the Commission's consulting architect, Mr. John Harbeson, the Commission proposes to offer this project to Mr. Paul Thiry of Seattle, Washington.

The American Battle Monuments Commission will be grateful to learn if the Commission of Fine Arts concurs in the suitability of this selection.

Faithfully yours,

THOMAS NORTH
Major General, USA
Secretary

EXHIBIT C

1 October 1964

Dear General North:

At its meeting on September 16, the Commission of Fine Arts considered your letter regarding the proposed memorial at Utah Beach, and concurs with the Battle Monuments Commission on the selection of Mr. Paul Thiry as architect.

Sincerely yours,

William Walton
Chairman

Major General Thomas North
Secretary
The American Battle Monuments
Commission
Washington, D. C.

EXHIBIT 17

1 October 1964

Dear Captain Enger:

At its meeting on September 15th, the Commission of Fine Arts reviewed the revised designs for the Operations and Technical Services Building at the Naval Research Laboratory.

The Commission believes the new scheme is much superior to the original and approved the design with one suggestion. The Commission believes the seal on the second story ought to be lowered to a position below the canopy and adjacent to the entranceway. It is awkward in its present location and also difficult to see.

The next meeting of the Commission is scheduled for 20 and 21 October and we will be glad to review any new material at that time.

For the Commission of Fine Arts:

Sincerely yours,

William Walton
Chairman

Captain W. M. Enger
U.S. Navy
Area public Works Office, Chesapeake
Building 57, U.S. Naval Station
Washington, D.C. 20390

EXHIBIT I

7 October 1964

Dear Captain Enger:

At its meeting on 15 September, the Commission of Fine Arts reviewed the plans for a proposed addition to the photographic center at the Anacostia Naval Station.

Unfortunately, the existing building is not a well designed structure, and the new additions are not going to improve the situation, especially since the addition will be conspicuous from the important thoroughfare of South Capitol Street. On the other hand there seems to be no reasonable alternative to providing for the new space requirements.

Although there is no possibility of entirely screening the view, the Commission recommends that additional planting such as informal clusters of oaks be grouped along the east side and both ends in order to relieve the severity of the building.

For the Commission of Fine Arts:

Sincerely yours,

William Walton
Chairman

Captain W. M. Enger
U. S. Navy
Area Public Works Office, Chesapeake
Building 57, U.S. Naval Station
Washington, D.C. 20390

EXHIBIT 5

1 October 1964

Dear Colonel Hanger:

At its meeting on 15 September the Commission reviewed the General Development plan for the expansion of Arlington National Cemetery into the Fort Myer South Post.

As you know, the Commission has long favored this plan and is glad to see that it is being implemented ahead of its original schedule.

There appears to be no problem in the design details of the first increments, although there was some question why the south area couldn't be enlarged slightly in order to get an entire loop road which would result in better temporary circulation. You might give this further study.

Regarding the overall plan other than the first increment, there are at least two features which are not resolved and certainly ought to be studied in detail when the time comes for their development. This includes the visitor parking area and the service area - especially the latter. Its location has always been a problem and it seems that with the new space available a much better place could be found for it. This and other details will all be a part of future plans to be submitted to the Commission, but it would be good to get an early start on this particular item.

For the Commission of Fine Arts:

Sincerely yours,

William Walton
Chairman

Colonel John W. Hanger
Chief of Support Services
Department of the Army
Washington, D.C. 20315

EXHIBIT K

1 October 1964

Dear Colonel Temple:

At its meeting on September 15th, the Commission of Fine Arts approved the designs for two proposed medals:

The Commendation for Achievement Award, U.S. Navy, and the

Naval Reserve Meritorious Service Medal.

The design for the Service Medal included a trident with the words "zeal, discipline, leadership" superimposed. (Enclosure #2).

The design for the Commendation Award featured an anchor and laurel branches. (Enclosure #2).

For the Commission of Fine Arts:

Sincerely yours,

William Walton
Chairman

Colonel Harry D. Temple
Commanding Officer
The Institute of Heraldry
Cameron Station
5010 Duke Street
Alexandria, Virginia

EXHIBIT L & M

Public Law 88-399
88th Congress, S. 944
August 4, 1964

An Act

78 STAT. 379.

To provide for the presentation by the United States to the people of Mexico of a monument commemorating the independence of Mexico, and for other purposes.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Secretary of State is authorized and requested to procure a statue of Lincoln to commemorate appropriately the independence of Mexico, and present the same, on behalf of the people of the United States, to the people of Mexico. Such monument shall be prepared only after the design, plans, and specifications therefor have been submitted to and approved by the Commission of Fine Arts. Mexico. Presentation of monument.

SEC. 2. There is hereby authorized to be appropriated not in excess of $150,000 to carry out the provisions of this Act, including payment of the cost of such statue, the design and construction of a suitable pedestal therefor, transportation, including insurance, erection of the statue in Mexico, and traveling expenses of persons delegated by the Secretary of State to present such statue, on behalf of the people of the United States, to the people of Mexico. Appropriation.

Approved August 4, 1964.

LEGISLATIVE HISTORY:

HOUSE REPORT No. 1532 (Comm. on Foreign Affairs).
SENATE REPORT No. 880 (Comm. on Foreign Relations).
CONGRESSIONAL RECORD, Vol. 110 (1964):
Feb. 27: Considered and passed Senate.
July 21: Passed House, amended.
July 22: Senate concurred in House amendment.

GPO 35-139

EXHIBIT N

1 October 1964

Dear Mr. Leonhardy:

At its meeting on September 15, the Commission of Fine Arts considered the proposed statue of Lincoln which is to be presented as a gift to the people of Mexico.

In complying with the intent of Congress as expressed in the House Committee Report, the Commission has given careful consideration to the advisability of procuring a replica of an existing statue of Lincoln instead of an original statue.

As you know, the Commission of Fine Arts has urged on previous occasions that an original work of art be commissioned whenever possible, since it will always have a certain unique quality that a replica can never attain. This is especially important in a work of art that is to be presented as an official gift.

However, there may be extenuating circumstances such as limited funds or lack of time that favor a replica in this instance. If that is so, and there is no possibility of an original design, the Commission would like to recommend that the replica be made of the Lincoln statue by Augustus St. Gaudens located in Chicago. Outside of the seated figure by Daniel Chester French in the memorial in Washington, which the Commission believes should not be duplicated under any circumstances, it is probably the best known statue of Lincoln. It is a superior work of art in every respect and the artist is acknowledged as one of the great American sculptors of the past. The statue would probably be suitable in most settings, although this is a matter which would have to be studied in greater detail at the time actual sites are considered. We will, of course, be concerned with the suitability of the site and urge that the Commission be consulted in the early stages of the design.

If you wish to discuss the Commission's recommendation

EXHIBIT O

prior to the appropriation hearing, we would be glad to meet with you at one of our regular sessions which are held on the third Wednesday of every month, or more informally if you wish.

For the Commission of Fine Arts:

Sincerely yours,

William Walton
Chairman

Mr. Terrance G. Leonhardy
Director
Office of Mexican Affairs
Department of State
Washington, D. C.

8 Aug 64

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON SHIPSTEAD-LUCE ACT APPLICATIONS

NO SL-65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
2	1845 Harvard St., NW Tower Construction Co.	1 s-f, 40 sq-ft, tempo. rental sign.
ACTION: Approved as a temporary sign provided no interior illumination and that size be reduced to a maximum of 25 sq. ft. 7/30/64.		
3	Irving St., near Adams Mill Rd. Tower Construction Co.	1 s-f, 40 sq-ft, tempo. rental sign.
ACTION: Approved as a temporary sign provided no interior illumination and that size be reduced to a maximum of 25 square feet. 7/30/64.		
4	420 No. Capitol St., NW Continental Hotel	Remodel
ACTION: Approved: Action does not apply to sign. 7/30/64.		
5	3120 Woodley Rd., NW Mrs. Phyllis P. Nitze	Encl. side porch; build greenhouse; build new front entrance and portico.
ACTION: Approved. 7/30/64.		
6	1435 G St., NW Interstate Building Assn.	Remodel building and install signs.
ACTION: Disapproved. Removal of showwindows recommended, but treatment of new windows should retain character and detail of existing openings. 7/31/64.		
7	2161 P St., N.W. Fireplace Restaurant	Rev. to SL 64-131. Erect removable canopy over sidewalk cafe area. White, canvas.
ACTION: Approved providing scalloped valences be omitted and that there be no lettering or other decoration on awning. Approval is for a temporary structure to be used during the summer months. Canopy, planting boxes and supporting structure to be completely removed during the winter and early spring. 7/31/64.		
8	500 No. Capitol St., NW Frank J. Rooney	7-story office building.
ACTION: Disapproved. See Memo dated 5 August 1964. 8/5/64.		

Appendix 1

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON SHIPSTEAD-LUCE ACT APPLICATIONS (Cont.)

NO	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
11	451 Pennsylvania Ave., NW USO Club	Two signs to go on building.
ACTION: Approved: A single sign next to the entrance would be preferable. The sign on the corner should either be eliminated or moved to the right hand side of the entranceway, matching the location of the other signs. 7/31/64.		
12	2144 Cathedral Ave., NW Behjat Mozayeni	Enclosing rear porch.
ACTION: Approved. 7/31/64.		
13	6017 16th St., NW Harry & Beatrice Ziggles	Addition
ACTION: Approved. 8/5/64.		
9	4413 Colorado Ave., N.W. George W. Coleman	Install swimming pool
ACTION: Approved. 7/24/64.		
10	2324 Mass. Ave., NW Dr. S.Dillon Ripley	Alterations & additions to residence.
ACTION: Approved. 7/24/64		

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON SHIPSTEAD-LUCE ACT APPLICATIONS

SEP 1 4 1964

NO SL 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
14	2142 Cathedral Ave., NW Parkview Apts., Inc.	Erect "town-house" dwelling.

ACTION: Insufficient info. provided. Request subm. of detailed dwgs. of front & side elevations at 1/4" scale, 8/12/64.

15	2140 Cathedral Ave., NW	Same project & action as SL 65-14.
16	2138 Cathedral Ave., NW	" " " " "
17	2136 Cathedral Ave., NW	" " " " "
18	2134 Cathedral Ave., NW	" " " " "
19	2132 Cathedral Ave., NW	" " " " "
20	2111 E St., NW First National Bank	1 sheet metal sign 3'x8' on 2"x2" wood frame. Tempo. for leasing purposes.

ACTION: Disap'd. Display of proposed advertising matter directly on exterior face of walls inappropriate to the location & design of bldg. Suggest as a possible alternative a single placard, not to exceed 20x30", mounted on a simple stand & located within entranceway adjacent to doors. Black ltrs. on white bckgd., 8/12/64.

21	8735 East Beach Dr., NW Mr & Mrs Adrian H. Jones	Erect residence.

ACTION: App'd., 8/27/64.

23	1815 Quincy St., NW Mr & Mrs Robert Bee	Rev. of SL 64-126. Detached garage to conform to outline previously app'd., but having gable instead of hip roof.

ACTION: App'd., 8/27/64.

24	201 D St., NE 201 Associates, Inc.	New w. i. fencing to restaurant area.

ACTION: App'd., 8/21/64.

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON SHIPSTEAD-LUCE ACT APPLICATIONS 9/16/64

NO SL 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
22	415-12th St., NW Jerry Wolman	12-story off. bldg. fronting on Pa. Ave., 11th & 12th Sts., NW.
ACTION: Disap'd. See attached ltr. dtd. 9/16/64 to Ass't. Superintendent of Licenses & Permits, 9/16/64.		
26	2142 Cathedral Ave., NW Parkview Apts. Inc.	New townhouse dwelling.
ACTION: App'd. for general design. Request further studies of details on elevations such as flat arches over windows, proportions of panes in windows, materials, overhang, quoin stones, etc., as noted on drawings, 9/11/64.		
27	2140 Cathedral Ave., NW	Same as 26.
28	2138 Cathedral Ave., NW	Same as 26.
29	2136 Cathedral Ave., NW	Same as 26.
30	2134 Cathedral Ave., NW	Same as 26.
31	2132 Cathedral Ave., NW	Same as 26.
32	1900 Quincy St., NW Edgar & Judith Weisman	1-story brick dwelling, combination pitched & flat roof. 7' chain-link fence.
ACTION: App'd., 9/11/64.		
33	300-6th St., NW Browning & Baines, Inc.	Remove ornamental concrete at top of columns.
ACTION: App'd. with proviso that wall behind ornamental features is restored to harmonize with exist. brick walls in parapet & that patched effect is avoided, 9/10/64.		
34	500 No. Capitol St., NW Frank J. Rooney (Commercial)	8-story office bldg.
ACTION: App'd. Request subm. of material samples for review, at same time constr. drawings are subm'd., 9/16/64.		

APPENDIX 1

NO SL 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
35	704-17th St., NW Emma O. Klock, et al	Office bldg.

ACTION: Request further study of treatment on northwest corner on Pa. Ave. & southwest corner on G St. Recom. respacing of bays to provide column at corner or recessing overhanging corner 10' to provide termination of bldg. at column line, 9/16/64.

36	2201 Va. Ave., NW Riverside Towers	Rev. of SL 64-128. 9-story apt. bldg.

ACTION: Request selection of less contrasting materials so that a quieter tonal quality is obtained on the facades. Window frames darker & less glossy. Design of air cond. grills also to be simplified using arch'l. rather than decorative elements. Relocate door to elevator machine rm. to less conspicuous place, 9/16/64.

38	2500 Va. Ave., NW Watergate Realty Inc.	Rev. of SL-148. Stage 2. Bldg. #3 (Hotel). Bldg. #4 (Off. bldg.)

ACTION: App'd. for projection on upper stories of off. bldg. facing Va. Ave. as indicated on models. Full-scale field mockups of exterior panels to be inspected by Comm. prior to final approval, 9/16/64.

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON OLD GEORGETOWN ACT APPLICATIONS

NO OG 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
8	1271 Wisconsin Ave, NW Henry Fisher	Lights on each side of new entrance to apartments
ACTION: Recommended, 7/21/64		
10	2721 N St., NW Adrian Fisher	Erect attached brick garage to residence.
ACTION: Recommended, provided garage be painted to match front elevation, 7/21/64.		
11	3327 Q St., NW G. Morris Steinbraker	Replace electric fixture on existing outlet at front entrance.
ACTION: Returned for clarification. What size is light. Appears too small and should be no less than 9". 8/3/64		
12	1804 Wisconsin Ave., NW Peter C. Laganas	Install 2 outside electric fixtures.
ACTION: No objection, 7/21/64.		
13	1029-33d St., NW Knoll Associates, Inc.	Rev. of OG 65-6. Renovation of exist. garage into furniture showroom.
ACTION: Recommended as revised, 7/20/64.		
14	3526 P St., NW Mrs. Mary L. Baker	Rev. of OG 64-3435. Replace front entr. (Present front door to remain.)
ACTION: Although adjoining downspout constricts space available for door the resulting design is not going to affect the overall appearance to any appreciable extent. It is recommended that a good craftsman be engaged to make the installation. 8/3/64		
15	3222 O St., NW Mr. & Mrs. Arthur Gardner	Remove from rear of exist. brick bldg. a dilapidated 10'x14' wood frame 1-story bldg. addition and replace with new 22'x14' brick building 1-story high plus a 5' projection to the rear.
ACTION: Not vis. from public highway, 7/21/64.		

NO	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
16	3330 N St., NW Don V. Harris, Jr.	6' cedar stockade fence to replace existing fence
ACTION: Recommended, 7/21/64		
17	1729 Wisconsin Ave, NW DISC, Inc.	3-story brick building (bank & offices)
ACTION: Recommended provided that first floor windows be subdivided in a manner similar to entrance-way, with transom bar and central mullion. Request revised sketch. 8/6/64		
18	1200 Wisconsin Ave., NW National Bank of Washington	Grills on windows to cover air-cOnditioners.
ACTION: Recommended for installation of certain window grills on attached sketch. Vertical dimension of grill should be slightly increased. Submit revised drawing. 8/3/64		
19	1346-29th St., NW Marjorie Wallop	Coach light at front entrance
ACTION: No objection, 8/3/64		
20	3040 M St., NW K.C.Downer	Change "Parkway Motor Co. Inc." to "Parkway Dodge."
ACTION: Recommended for change in text only as a temporary measure pending the replacement of sign at premises on 3040 M St., NW expected within the next 18 months. Existing sign does not conform to DC Building Code and P.L. 808 and should be replaced with a suitably designed sign as soon as possible. 8/6/64		
21	3040 M St., NW	On bldg., change "Ford" to "Dodge"
ACTION: Recommended for change in text only as a temporary measure pending the remodelling of premises expected within the next 18 months. Existing sign does not conform to D.C. Bldg. Code and P.L. 808 and should be replaced with a suitably designed sign. 8/6/64		
22	1722-34th St., NW Bert H. Jr. & Ruth R. Eastridge	Remove front porch and remodel
ACTION: Recommended. 8/3/64		

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON OLD GEORGETOWN ACT APPLICATIONS (Cont.)

NO	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
23	3235 M St., NW Mr. & Mrs. Maurice Cohen	Alter first floor facade

ACTION: Recommended provided shutters adjoining first floor entrances be widened to 2 ft, and that balusters be altered in profile as revised on sketch. Regarding ballustrade it would be preferable if it were simplified, or replaced with a brick parapet wall of an appropriate design. 8/6/64

24	3122 M St., NW Georgetown Haberdasher	1 s-f, 10.2 sq-ft sign. Individual ltrs. Black upper case, roman style.

ACTION: Recommended as revised. 8/3/64

25	3139 N St., NW Loulie M. Wilson	Install small pane windows, as per elevation plan, on all windows facing N St.

ACTION: No objection to introduction of smaller lights of a suitable proportion, but should be done within sash of the same size as existing openings. Also sketch appears to be incorrectly drawn. Rqst revised sketch with accurately scaled proportions of existing conditions. 8/3/64

26	1692 32nd St., NW Helen Wood	Hanging one black and brass fixture on existing outlet on front of building.

ACTION: Recommended. 8/6/64

27	3235 M St., NW Maurice Cohen	Submission of face brick to be used on first floor and color chips of proposed paint for 2d thru 3d floor brick.

ACTION: Brick sample recommended provided selection of shades is placed in even proportion so as to avoid spotty appearance in wall. Action withheld on color samples pending an inspection in field. 8/6/64

28	1568 33rd St., NW Olga Foley	A brick addition similar to structure existing.

ACTION: Recommended provided two windows matching existing windows be substituted for twin-window, and that wooden paneled door be incorporated into garage entrance. 8/6/64

29	2913-19 M St, NW A.L.Wheeler	Build a 3 story addn on the rear of 2919 M St. with two apartments over the 2d and 3d floor.

ACTION: BAsed on the limited information available, there appears to be no objection to the general architectural character. 8/6/64

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON OLD GEORGETOWN ACT APPLICATIONS(Cont.)

NO	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
30	1079 Wisconsin Ave., NW Harry Meyers	2 lanterns, 1 on each side of front entrance

ACTION: No objection to lamps already in place. 8/5/64

31	3148-50 M St., NW Heon's Inc.	4 signs

ACTION: Recommended for smaller signs in matching design, adjacent to entranceway, and raised slightly higher than presently proposed. Also eliminate lamps left side of north elevation and right side of west elevation. Submit revised sketch at a larger scale in order to show details of lettering, etc. 8/5/64

Report of Actions Taken on Old Georgetown Act Applications AUG 2 5 1964

NO OG 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
32	3150 M St., NW Peter Heon (Commercial)	**Revision** to cornice molding.

ACTION: Recom'd. for proposed alterations to cornice provided fascia is extended forward as shown on attached sketch. Soffit should be lowered flush with bottom of new fascia & exist. cup moulding should not be extended over entranceway. Fascia to be painted white & vent grills in wall should match color of brick, 8/12/64.

33	3000 M St., NW Sinclair Oil Co.	Replace exist. island lights using exist. poles. Replace exist poles. Replace exist. floods & install 4 add'l. 4' 4 light fluorescent fixtures.

ACTION: Recom'd. for replacement lights on exist. locations provided lights be horizontal, not tilted. Not recom'd. for add'l. fixtures, 8/20/64.

Inf. 34	3423 P St., NW	Opinion on razing bldg. or combining into row-house development.

ACTION: Razing not recom'd. This fine mid 19th-Century bldg. on its extra large lot surrounded by many tall trees is an essential part of the atmosphere of this part of Georgetown. Its razing would be a great loss to the architectural heritage of the Georgetown area, 8/18/64.

36	3008-26, 3038-44 R St., NW Phoenix Apts.	Alterations.

ACTION: Ret'd. Request add'l. inf. on treatment of elevation of 14 row houses involved, showing how proposed chgs. will be applied to entrances of individual houses. Mass treatment indicated on drawings should be replaced with adaption to exist. conditions on each house. Add'l. inf. also needed on dimensions & mechanical equipment on roof, 8/18/64.

37	3007 P St., NW Louelle Barnard	Gate.

ACTION: Recom'd. for design of iron gate, 8/18/64.

NO OG 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
38	3210 Q St., NW A. G. Robinson	Addn. across side yard - new sash & picket fence.

ACTION: Recom'd., for parts visible from public highway, 8/18/64.

39	1665-35th St., NW Harold Elwyn	Replace exist. sash in 4 2nd-fl. windows with 6 over 6 d-h. Reopen exist. window @ inner corner, now covered with stucco,

ACTION: Recom'd., for new window & new 6 over 6 sashes, 8/18/64.

40	3233-35 M St., NW Morris & Ida Cohen	5' front canopy.

ACTION: Not recom'd. Design of canopy not suitable; it detracts from newly restored facade, which is better without such attachments, AUG 2 5 1964

41	1804 Wisc. Ave., NW Peter C. Laganas	Install individual cut-out wood ltrs. on face of bldg.

ACTION: Not recom'd. Text of sign not in accordance with Bldg. Code. Suggest sign reading "Lagana's Realty Company", omitting advertising, AUG 2 5 1964

42	2710 "O" St., NW James Walker	Install asbestos siding on front & 2 sides of bldg.

ACTION: Not recom'd. Recom. wood siding, AUG 2 5 1964

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON OLD GEORGETOWN ACT APPLICATIONS SEP 3 1964

NO OG 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
43	2906 P St., NW James C. H. Bonbright	1 s-f, 5' tempo. constr. sign.

ACTION: Recom'd. for tempo. sign, 8/27/64.

44	3240 "O" St., NW St. John's Episcopal Church	Cut new opening in exist. wall to make access to urn storage area. New door to match exist. door to chapel.

ACTION: Recom'd. for duplicate of exist. chapel door on Potomac St., 8/27/64.

45	3220 "O" St., NW The Pineapple	Remove exist. door & replace with double doors.

ACTION: Recom'd., provided design is revised for 4 panes instead of 6 in each door & each side light. Muntins not to exceed 1" in width. Request drawing for rcd., 8/27/64.

46	3150 M St., NW Heon's Restaurant	Rev. to cornice. Installation of louvers in place of a window.

ACTION: Ret'd. for add'l. inf. relating to revision of cornice. Exact scale drawing of cornice profile is required, locating wall & showing accurate dimensions of all parts. Also solution to conditions at both ends of cornice, corner of bldg. & intersection of intersecting roof on Wisc. Ave. facade should be detailed. Condition near louver can be solved at same time. Suggest short section of proposed treatment be installed for field inspection, 8/26/64.

47	3295 M St., NW The Shamrock Restaurant	Revise front elevation.

ACTION: Not recom'd. Request dimensioned drawings. Prefer treatment in sketch returned with OG 64-320 action. However if door has to be relocated at end, design should be restudied to relate with openings above. Special attention should be given to size and detail of jack arches over windows. Dimensions are needed throughout,

NO OG 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
48	1424 Wisc. Ave., NW Morris Cohen (Commercial)	Revise cornice & door from that shown on original plans with OG 64-262.

ACTION: Ret'd. Request revisions to be shown on drawing of entire facade, showing new cornice & new door. Prefer reproduction of exist. cornice to cornice section subm'd. Need studies showing termination of cornice at both ends. Also desire brick sample, 8/25/64.

49	3242 M St., NW D. C. Transit System, Inc.	Remove exist. 1st fl. windows on "M" St. & replace with entr. door & new windows.

ACTION: Recom'd., provided following revisions to design of front entr. are made: (1) Steps to be limestone & have bull nose molding; (2) Carry band at top of door opening through to wall, squaring off jambs below & stop on plinth block; (3) Provide triangular panel at corners above arch & bead around opening; (4) Omit limestone beyond pilasters & run brick to edge of frame; sill course to abut pilaster. Above changes have been discussed with architect, & revised drawing of entr. is to be submitted. No obj. for rest of work to proceed, while these details are being worked out. Fabrication of front door surround in wood is preferred, 8/27/64.

50	2913-19 M St., NW A. L. Wheeler	Brick samples as requested in OG 65-29 (Locher #800 K/C.

ACTION: Recom'd. for brick samples. Locher #800 K/C., 8/27/64.

51	1727-29 Wisc. Ave., NW DISC, Inc.	Raze exist. bldg. in entirety; not condemned by D. C. Replace with new off. & bank bldg.

ACTION: Recom'd. Bldg. has no arch'l. or historical interest, 8/25/64.

52	1311-35th St., NW Leroy T. Morgan	Install frame & tin roof over exist. 2nd fl. porch.

ACTION: Recom'd., 8/27/64.

NO OG 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
53	3235 M St., NW Charley's Restaurant	1 s-f, 5½ sq-ft sign.
ACTION: Not recom'd. Prefer location & type of sign shown on elevation app'd. under OG 65-23, SEP 3 1964		
54	1227 Wisc. Ave., NW George Katinas (Store)	Install new roof fan on 1-story rear addn. to exist. bldg. (This fan will not be seen from Wisc. Ave.)
ACTION: Recom'd. for mushroom type fan shown on drawings, 8/27/64.		
55	2617-21 P St., NW Rob't. G. Enzel (Store & apts.)	3 apts. over exist. stores. Stores repaired under Bldg. Permit B-115065, 6/9/64. Rev. of OG 64-306.
ACTION: Recom'd. Brick sample satisfactory, Georgetown Colonial Std. C-75, 8/27/64.		

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON OLD GEORGETOWN ACT APPLICATIONS

SEP 8 1964

NO OG 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
56	3233 P St., NW Adams, Davidson & Co., Inc.	Stucco facade, install new showwindow, install new stair & areaway into basement.

ACTION: Not recom'd. for stucco treatment of upper part of bldg. unrelated in scale & details. Recom. design be revised to retain exist. brick facade of 2 upper flrs. of bldg. which is the center one of 3 relatively similar bldgs. in row, SEP 8 1964

57	1265 Wisc. Ave., NW Harbill Co.	Make alterations & repairs to exist. apts. Paint & repair exterior walls.

ACTION: Recom'd. New windows on Wisc. Ave. to be identical with exist. windows. 8 over 8 windows on east elevation to be 6 over 6, 9/1/64.

58	1416-34th St., NW Col. Albert Johnson	Replace exist. wood entr. platform steps with br. - flagstone & w. i. work per plan.

ACTION: Ret'd. for additional inf. Request scale drawing of new steps, giving dimensions & showing details of all parts, 9/1/64.

59	3410 Volta Pl., NW Dora R. Lowenstein	2nd-story addn. in rear.

ACTION: Recom'd. for parts visible from public highway, 9/1/64.

60	1727-29 Wisc. Ave., NW DISC, Inc.	Rev. of OG 65-17. Revised drawings for proposed 3-story brick bldg. (bank & offices).

ACTION: Recom'd. for changes to street elevation. Request air conditioners be screened in such a manner as to bring them into arch'l. relation to bldg., 9/1/64.

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON OLD GEORGETOWN ACT APPLICATIONS 9/16/64

NO OG 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
35	1200 Wisc. Ave., NW National Bank of Wash.	Grills for air conditioners.
ACTION: Superceded by OG 65-64, 9/9/64.		
61	1227 Wisc. Ave., NW Paramount Steak House	1 s-f, 18 sq-ft neon sign. Indirect lighting. Ltrs. & raceway white.
ACTION: Not recom'd. Request revised design in a more suitable Federal character with thinner, vertical ltrs. of a darker color located within space on cornice. Lighting also to be simplified and reduced in size, 9/16/64.		
62	3221 Reservoir Rd., NW Mr & Mrs Stuart F. Ayers	Addn. of bldg. as shown on drawings.
ACTION: Ret'd. for elevation drawing of garage structure at $\frac{1}{4}$" equals 1'. Variety of windows & doors should be reduced, & more in the character of exist. dwelling, 9/10/64.		
63	3221 Reservoir Rd., NW Mr & Mrs Stuart F. Ayers	Addn. of 2 rms. as shown on drawings.
ACTION: Ret'd. for elevation drawing of proposed addn. at $\frac{1}{4}$" equals 1', 9/10/64.		
64	1200 Wisc. Ave., NW National Bank of Wash.	New window grills.
ACTION: Recom'd. as revised, 9/9/64.		
65	1265 Wisc. Ave., NW Harbill Co. (Apts.)	Remodel exist. apts.
ACTION: Recom'd. as revised, 9/9/64.		

APPENDIX 2

REPORT OF ACTIONS TAKEN ON OLD GEORGETOWN ACT APPLICATIONS OCT 1 1964

NO OG 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
67	1227 Wisc. Ave., NW Paramount Restaurant	Air duct cut thru rear roof over kitchen.
ACTION: Recom'd., provided all roof structures are painted a neutral color (gray, tan, etc.), 9/23/64.		
68	3235 M St., NW Stratford, Inc.	1 s-f, 5½ sq-ft sign.
ACTION: Ret'd. for accurate drawing of elevation--space available for proposed sign does not appear adequate. Also recom. painted sign mounted flat against wall instead of free-standing back-lighted ltrs., 9/23/64.		
69	1729 Wisc. Ave., NW DISC, Inc.	Rev. of OG 65-60. Regrouping of air cond. units with masking. Applicant will accept any recom. as to color of metal louvers.
ACTION: Recom'd. as revised. Suggest metal trim, & louvers be painted to match brick, 9/23/64.		
70	1804 Wisc. Ave., NW Laganas Real Estate	1 s-f, 10 sq-ft sign. Black painted 3/4" marine plywood ltrs. mounted 3/4" from wall.
ACTION: Recom'd. provided that ltrs. are reduced in height to 8" & that serif & strokes of ltrs. be reduced in thickness. Request revised sketch for review, 9/23/64.		
71	3222 "O" St., NW Arthur Gardner	Replace exist. front door with pair of 2'x7' double-acting doors.
ACTION: Not recom'd. Recom. adherence to design as modified in action contained in OG 65-45, OCT 1 1964		
72	3233 P St., NW Adams, Davidson & Co., Inc.	Renovate facade by painting, install new showwindow, rework exist. brick steps.
ACTION: Recom'd. as revised, 9/22/64.		

NO OG 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
73	3040 Dent Pl., NW Paul Shinkman	Replace exist. door with wood d-h window to match exist. house windows. Brick to window below. lst fr. rear.
ACTION: Recom'd., 9/22/64.		
74	3148-50 M St., NW Heritage House Restaurant	3 s-f signs. 22 sq-ft. Individual cast prismatic ltrs. 1 wood panel sign 2x3'.
ACTION: Not recom'd. Recom. omission of 2 large signs composed of free-standing ltrs., limiting identification to 2 smaller signs adjacent to entranceway. Also omit lamps per action on OG 65-31, OCT 1 1964		
75	1044 Wisc. Ave., NW Hawk Welding Co.	1 s-f, 24 sq-ft sign to replace exist. sign.
ACTION: Recom'd. for 24 sq-ft painted sign with color & ltr. style to match that of exist. sign, 9/23/64.		
77	3126 "O" St., NW Mr & Mrs Olin Dows	Add 1-story garden rm. onto rear of house.
ACTION: Ret'd. Proposed addn. not visible from public space, 9/23/64.		
78	3126 "O" St., NW Mr & Mrs Olin Dows	1 s-f, 5 sq-ft tempo. constr. sign.
ACTION: No objection, 9/23/64.		
79	3325 N St., NW Benjamin Bradlee	Remodel exist. rooming house into 1-family dwelling.
ACTION: Ret'd. for elevation drawings showing extent & character of proposed work, 9/23/64.		

NO OG 65-	ADDRESS AND OWNER	PROJECT
80	3130 P St., NW Joseph R. Sipper	Proposed addn. for indoor swimming pool.

ACTION: Ret'd. Proposed constr. not visible from public highway, 9/23/64.

82	Rear 1073 Wisc. Ave., NW John Synder, Jr. & T. O. Gwaltney	Convert exist. warehouse to restaurant.

ACTION: Recom'd. for parts vis. from public highway. Request drawings showing all proposed roof structures, vents, etc. Also brick on old warehouse to remain unpainted, 9/23/64.

81	3323 "O" St., NW Mr. Leon Bernstein	Stucco over exist. brick. Windows with new sash (Georgetown type 3 over 3). New brick steps & iron rail.

ACTION: Not recom'd. Exist. building one of a series of similar types. Proposed work inharmonious and disruptive. Suggest restudy retaining exist. openings, exposed brick work & other details, OCT 1 1964

HEIGHT OF BUILDINGS STUDY

EXHIBIT P

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
Chronological Listing of Building Controls 1791-1964	1
Existing Height Regulations for SP, C-3-B and C-4 Zone Districts	7
Methods used by Other Cities to Regulate Height and Setbacks of Buildings	8
Suggested Methods for Gaining Variation in Building Heights	11

HEIGHT OF BUILDING STUDY

The National Capital Planning Commission at its meeting on November 7, 1963 directed the staff to study and recommend changes to the Zoning Regulations which will allow greater variation in building types within the height limit prescribed by Congress for the C-4, C-3-B and SP Zoning Districts.

Regulation of buildings as to height is not something new to the District of Columbia, they were established as early as 1791 by George Washington. The third condition of his regulations stated, that the wall of no house was to be higher than 40 feet to the roof in any part of the city, nor shall any be lower than 35 feet on any of the avenues.

The following several pages give a chronological listing of building and zoning regulations in the District of Columbia from 1791 - 1960.

Chronological Listing of Building Controls - 1791-1960

1791 - Building Regulations Issued by President Washington

> TERMS and CONDITIONS declared by the PRESIDENT of the UNITED STATES, this seventeenth day of October, seventeen hundred and ninety-one, for regulating the Materials and manner of the Buildings and Improvements on the LOTS in the CITY of WASHINGTON.

1st. That the outer and partywalls of all houses within the said City shall be built of brick or stone.

2nd. That all buildings on the streets shall be parallel thereto, and may be advanced to the line of the street, or withdrawn therefrom, at the pleasure of the Improver; but where any such building about to be erected neither the foundation or party-wall shall be begun without first applying to the person or persons appointed by the Commissioners to superintend the buildings within the city, who will ascertain the lines of the walls to correspond with these regulations.

3rd. The wall of no house to be higher than forty feet to the roof in any part of the city, nor shall any be lower than thirty-five feet on any of the avenues.

4th. That the Person or persons appointed by the Commissioners to superintend the buildings may enter on the land of any person to set out the foundation and regulate the walls to be built between party and party, as to the breadth and thickness thereof. Which foundation shall be laid equally upon the lands of the persons between whom such party-walls are to be built, and shall be of the breadth and thickness determined by such person proper, and the first builder shall be reimbursed one moiety of the charge of such party-wall, or so much thereof as the next builder shall

same possible to make use of, before such next builder shall any ways use or break into the wall -- The charge or value thereof is to be set by the person or persons so appointed by the Commissioners.

8th. As temporary conveniences will be proper for lodging workmen and keeping materials for building, it is to be understood that such may be erected with the approbation of the Commissioners; but they may be removed or discontinued by the special order of the Commissioners.

9th. The way into the squares being designed in a special manner for the common use and convenience of the occupiers of the respective squares -- The property in the same is reserved to the public, so that there may be an immediate interference on any abuse of the use thereof by any individual, to the nuisance or obstruction of others. The proprietors of the lots adjoining the entrance into the squares, on erecting over the entrance, and fixing gates on the manner the Commissioners shall approve, shall be entitled to divide the space over the arching and build it up with the range of that line of the square.

7th. No vaults shall be permitted under the streets, nor any encroachments on the footway above by steps, stoops, porches, cellar doors, windows, dials or leaning walls; nor shall there be any projection over the street, other than the eave of the house, without the consent of the Commissioners.

8th. These regulations are the terms and conditions under and upon which conveyances are to be made, according to the deeds in trust of the lands within the city.

GEORGE WASHINGTON

1790 - President Washington suspended regulations.

1822 - Provision added forbidding the erection of wooden frame houses higher than 20 feet.

1822-1877 - No records.

1877 - Regulations forbidding the erection of wooden buildings higher than three stories and required that "buildings hereafter erected or increased in height, except churches, shall not exceed 75 feet to the cornice, except the additional height shall be constructed in a fireproof manner.

1878 - Restricted the erection of business buildings in residential areas.

1897 - The fundamental provisions of the present Congressional Act were introduced as regulations by the Commissioners, following a disastrous fire which destroyed 22 buildings in the block bounded by B Street (Constitution Avenue), Louisiana Avenue, 9th and 10th Streets, N.W.
These provisions were:

(a) Yard requirements justified by the need for light and air in buildings;

(b) A distinction between residential and business streets, with a list of the latter to be established by the Commissioners;

(c) The retention of a 75-foot height limit on buildings of inflammable construction and addition of a five-story limit on such buildings when used for residence;

(d) The relating of building heights to street widths, with a top limit of 90 feet for residential streets and 110 feet for business streets except on avenues of 160-foot width, where 130 was permitted.

1899 - Act of 1899 imposed a building height limitation of 90 feet in residential streets, 110 on business streets except those of 160 foot width where heights of 130 feet were permitted.

1901-1902 - The McMillan Commission of leading architects and landscape architects prepared and delivered its famous report proposing "such a plan as shall enable future development to proceed along the lines originally planned (the L'Enfant Plan), namely the treatment of the city as a work of civic art..." Thus there was revived, after a century of obscurity, the European tradition which dominated in the founding of the city.

1907-1908 - Amendments reduced the height permitted on residential streets from height-equals-street width, to height-equals-street width less 10 feet, with a top limit of 80 feet instead of 90.

1908 - Amendment to 1899 Act, to limit height of buildings on Union Station Plaza to 90 feet so as to "provide a proper subordination to the Capitol".

1910 - The presently effective federal height control was put into final form, which involved several changes from the Act of 1899.
The Act of 1910:

(a) Raised the height limit on residential streets by five feet, to 85 Feet (since raised to 90);

(b) Raised the height limit on commercial streets to the width of the street plus 20 feet up to a maximum of 130 feet, except on Pennsylvania Avenue between 1st and 15th Streets, N.W., where 160-foot buildings were allowed;

(c) Permitted the erection of buildings to the full height of 65 feet on residential streets regardless of street width provided the building be set back from all lot lines by at least its height.

1915-1920 - Legislation was introduced in Congress and, after lengthy debate, passed, enabling the District to regulate its development by a system of zoning districts similar to the system adopted in New York. The District obtained the services of Harland Bartholomew, as a Consultant, and adopted his recommended regulations and map in 1920.
The Zoning regulations duplicated the control over heights by the Act of 1910, and, in addition, greatly expanded the rudimentary controls found in the Act over the coverage of land and the uses to which land and structures could be put. Both systems of control stand today without any fundamental changes since their inception.

1924-1926 - The lack of provision of sufficient public parks and the failure to carry out the proposals of the plan of 1901 led to the formation of the National Capital Park Commission. It was soon found, however, that without a knowledge of the inter-relation of parks with the other elements of the city intelligent choices of sites could not be made. The National Capital Park and Planning Commission was therefore formed in 1926 charged with the duty of preparing a comprehensive plan for the National Capital and its environs.

1930 - The Shipstead-Luce Act was passed authorizing the Fine Arts Commission (which had been created earlier) to exercise advisory control over the architecture of private buildings fronting on certain of the parks and public buildings. This supplements the control of height exercised over such buildings by the Commissioners, authorized by the Act of 1910. The province of the Fine Arts Commission has since been extended to cover Old Georgetown (as a historical restoration).

1938 - The enabling legislation for zoning was revised significantly in three aspects:

(a) From a simple statement that the Zoning Commission shall restrict height, area, and use "to protect the public health, secure the public safety, and to protect property, ...," the 1938 Act enlarged upon the purpose of the zoning regulations as follows: "designed to lessen congestion in the street to secure safety from fire, panic, and other dangers, to promote health and general welfare, to provide adequate light and air, to prevent undue concentration of population and the overcrowding of land, and to promote such distribution of population and of the uses of land as would tend to create conditions favorable to health, civic activity, and recreational, educational,

and cultural opportunities, and as would tend to further economy and efficiency in the supply of public services."

(b) A Zoning Advisory Council was set up, including a representative of the National Capital Planning Commission, to review all proposed amendments.

(c) A Board of Zoning Adjustment was set up, including a representative of the National Capital Planning Commission, to hear appeals from the strict application of the regulations and handle other matters related to their interpretations.

1942 - Congress amended the 1938 enabling act to permit the Zoning Commission to require the provision of off-street parking for buildings subsequently erected, for the use of occupants or visitors to the building. The Zoning Commission thereupon amended the regulations to require residential and certain related uses to provide parking.

1952 - July 10, 1952, the National Capital Planning Act of 1952 was passed. Section 5 of this Act set forth certain functions of the Planning Commission in regard to zoning.

1954 - In 1954 the Commissioners of the District of Columbia contracted with a consultant to write a new set of zoning regulations. The regulations in effect at that time had been adopted in 1920 and were admirably out of date, for although there had been numerous amendments there had not been any general revision. During the 35 years that the regulations had been in effect, there had been over 4,000 amendments proposed and about one-half of these adopted.

1958 - May 12, 1958 Zoning Regulations now in effect were adopted. These regulations contain many of the methods which have been employed in new regulations and revisions of old regulations in other cities. The more important of these are as follows:

1. Control of density in commercial and apartment uses by floor area ratio.

2. Control of external effects of commercial and industrial uses by the establishment of performance standards.

3. Off-street parking and loading requirements tied to gross floor area in commercial uses and dwelling units in residential uses.

4. Lot area per family requirement where conversion of old houses to apartments is allowed.

The Zoning Act of June 20, 1938, authorizes the Zoning Commission to amend the regulations from time to time and specifies the method by which this may be done. (Section 3). The Act also created the Zoning Advisory Council (Section 5) and the Board of Zoning adjustment. (Section 8). The Advisory Council is

required to report to the Zoning Commission on all proposed amendments prior to its acting on them. During the past fiscal year, the Zoning Advisory Council reported to the Zoning Commission on 12% proposed amendments.

The Board of Zoning Adjustment provides a means by which the special exceptions allowed by the regulations, variances on the basis of hardship and appeals from decisions of the Zoning Administrator, may be allowed. During this past fiscal year the Board acted on 505 cases.

The Planning Commission is represented on both the Zoning Advisory Council and the Board of Zoning Adjustment.

As stated above, the Zoning Commission had 12% requests for changes to the maps and regulations during the past year, of these, 50 map changes and 3 regulation changes were allowed. In the five years that the regulations have been in effect, there have been 127 map changes and 15 regulation changes. One amendment has also been made by Congress to the Act of 1910, in that the "8 story" limitation was removed.

Many of these appeals for changes were both considered by the Planning Commission at the request of the Zoning Commission and others have been initiated by the Planning Commission.

Some of these more important changes are as follows:

1. Initiated by NCPC.

   a. Large Scale Planned Development (5-23-61)

   b. Exceptions to Density Regulations for Open Arcades (12-27-62)

   c. Amendment to allow Balconies without loss of F.A.R. (4-4-63)

2. Request by Zoning Commission for review and report from NCPC.

   a. Plaza in the Park (4-3-63)

   b. Southwest Corner 17th Street & Pennsylvania Avenue. (Mills Building) (10-25-62)

   c. Amendment to zoning maps proposed by Washington Real Estate Board (Dupont Circle Area) (3-1-62)

   d. Change in regulations to allow an increase in F.A.R. from 2.5 to 3.5 in the R-5-C District (6-21-62)

As can be seen by the chronological listing, there have been a number of amendments to the regulations.

The changes that have been made to create is give variety in design, both at the pedestrian level and the overall line, are those regulations dealing with "open arcades","cornices or exterior walls above the 110 foot level" and Article 75. (Appendix A)

EXISTING HEIGHT REGULATIONS FOR SP, C-2-B AND C-4

ARTICLE 42

HEIGHT REGULATIONS FOR SPECIAL PURPOSE DISTRICT

Section 4101 — Height of Buildings or Structures

4201.1 Except as specified in the following paragraphs of this Section and in Chapter 7 of these regulations, the height of buildings or structures in the Special Purpose District shall not exceed 90 feet, with no limitation on the number of stories.

4201.2 The height of buildings or structures as specified in paragraph 4201.1 of this Section may be exceeded in the following instances:

4201.21 A spire, tower, dome, pinnacle, or minaret serving as an architectural embellishment; a radio or television tower, chimney, or smokestack may be erected to a height in excess of that authorized in paragraph 4201.1.

4201.22 If erected or enlarged as provided in Section 4306 housing for mechanical equipment, a stairway or elevator penthouse may be erected to a height in excess of that authorized in the district in which located provided such housing or penthouse is set back from all lot lines of the lot upon which such structure is located a distance equal to their respective heights above the roof of the top story. (As amended July 27, 1958, June 17, 1960.)

4201.3 Where required by the Act of June 1, 1910 (36 Stat. 452), a height in excess of that therein permitted must be authorized by the Commissioners of the District of Columbia.

ARTICLE 52

HEIGHT REGULATIONS FOR COMMERCIAL DISTRICTS

Section 5201 — Height of Building or Structure

5201.1 Except as provided in the following paragraphs of this Section and in Chapter 7, the height of buildings or structures in a Commercial District shall not exceed that given in the following table:

District	Height in feet	Height in stories
C-1	40	3
C-2, C-3-A	50	No limit
C-3-B	90	No limit
C-4	110	No limit

5201.2 The height of buildings or structures as specified in paragraph 5201.1 may be exceeded in the following instances:

5201.21 A spire, tower, dome, pinnacle, or minaret serving as an architectural embellishment, radio or television tower, chimney, or smokestack may be erected to a height in excess of that authorized in paragraph 5201.1.

5201.22 In the C-4 District buildings or other structures may be erected to a height not exceeding 130 feet, provided:

(a) Such buildings or structures face on more than one street not less than 110 feet wide between building lines; and

(b) Above the 110-foot level all exterior walls facing on fronting a lot line which is the boundary of a district requiring a lower height of building than is permitted in the C-4 District, a street or alley shall be set back from the lot lines abutting such boundary, street, or alley six inches for each foot of height above such level.

5201.23 If erected or enlarged as provided in Section 5108 (zoning for mechanical equipment, a stairway or elevator penthouse may be erected to a height in excess of that authorized in the district, in which located provided such housing or penthouse is set back from all lot lines of the lot upon which such structure is located a distance equal to their respective heights above the roof of the top story. (As amended July 22, 1958, June 14, 1960).

5201.3 Where required by the Act of June 1, 1910 (36 Stat. 452), a height in excess of that therein permitted must be authorized by the Commissioners of the District of Columbia.

In the C-4 Zone buildings fixing or abutting a street not less than 110 feet wide may be built to a height of 130 feet so that in those areas the height limit prescribed by Congress has been reached.

For those C-4 zones where the streets are not 110 feet in width and for the R5 and C-3-B zones where the height limit is 90 feet it would be possible to allow an increase in height but still be within the 130-foot Congressional height limit.

METHODS USED BY OTHER CITIES TO REGULATE HEIGHT AND INTENSITY

CHICAGO

	Height	FAR
	No regulation	16

FAR provisions

a. If adjoining public open space

Where the front or side lot line adjoins a public open space of 5 acres or more, with a depth of 200 feet perpendicular to the lot line, the FAR maybe increased by 1:1.

b. Set backs

If first story along a front lot line is set back from a street at least 20 feet a premium of 2 FAR may be added provided the area is paved or suitably landscaped. If all stories are set back 20 feet the premium is 2.5.

If all stories are set back 8 feet along one or more lot lines, a premium of 2 1/2 times the open area divided by the gross lot area may be added.

LOS ANGELES

Maximum FAR = 13
Height limit = 13 stories or 150' whichever is less

MINNEAPOLIS

FAR premiums

a. Same as Chicago

b. 2.0 added where a sidewalk canopy is provided

c. Arcade

FAR 2.0 permitted where building is set back at least 20 feet from front lot line.

FAR 2.0 for an internal arcade, which may connect streets, arcades, or plazas. Must be at least 10 feet wide plus 6 feet for each side which opens on store entrance plus 10 feet for each side which opens on elevator doors.

Plaza

FAR 2.0 permitted where plaza is open to sky except for weather protection. Plaza must be open to pedestrian movement and consist either of an area of 7500 sq. ft. or 1/8 of the lot area, whichever is greater, with full building frontage, or of an area of 7500 sq. ft. with a 50' frontage.

NASHVILLE

No FAR regulation

Height limit is twice the street width. Towers may be built in excess of the height limit provided that the portion of the building higher than twice the street is setback from every street and lot line one foot for each three feet of height above twice the street width. Buildings facing public open space may add that depth to street width.

in calculating the height limit, provided that the total width used does not exceed 100 feet.

PHILADELPHIA

No height regulation
FAR limit 8.0

FAR premiums

Streets 80 feet wide - 15 sq. ft. increase in gross floor area is allowed per foot of street width greater than 80 feet for only one facing street.

Streets 50 feet wide - 15 sq. ft. of gross floor area is allowed per sq. ft. of lot area in the front yard provided the yard is at least 10 feet deep; no credit for rear yard.

Streets of less than 50 feet - 20 sq. ft. of gross floor area is allowed per sq. ft. of lot area in the front yard provided the yard is at least 10 feet deep; no credit is given for rear yard.

Arcades

If an open and unobstructed arcade at ground level at least 10 feet deep is provided, then 7.5 sq. ft. of additional gross floor area is allowed for each sq. ft. of arcade area, provided none of the arcade area lies in the half of the lot farthest from the street line.

SAN FRANCISCO

FAR limit 12
Height regulation - none

FAR premiums

15% may be added to the area of a corner lot in the calculation of FAR.

the Commission, that the increase in height be
SP, C-3-S and C-4 and that the increase not
limit of 130' the following are the possible

(In C-4 zone at the present time buildings fronting on a 110 foot street may go to a height of 155 feet)

To get the variations desired it is possible that some of the in other cities could be employed here. Holding to the existing and allowing the increase in height would give the developer height for open space around the building.

Perhaps the best type of control presently exists in our regulations and by making amendments to Article 15 such as reducing the area required to 2 acres square, regardless of the area involved.

Yet that the Zoning Commission with Planning Commission recommendation may make use of, Height, Area, Bulk, Type and Location of Structures much than any other method.

APPENDIX A

7501.19 Buildings erected under the provisions of paragraph 5201.22 may have a cornice on the exterior wall above the 110 foot level which will project therefrom not more than 1 foot for each 9 feet of wall height above the 110 foot level. (63-51)

Section 7515 - Exemptions to Density Regulations for Open Arcades

7515.1 Solely for the purpose of encouraging open arcades at sidewalk level thereby permitting building design which will provide more pedestrian convenience and result in greater open area adjacent to public streets without loss of rental floor space, such open arcade area shall be allowed a floor area ratio credit not to exceed 0.25 nor more than 20% of the gross floor area of the floor which is adjacent to such arcades at sidewalk level, subject to conditions specified below:

7515.11 Open arcades shall be permitted in all R-4, SP and C Districts provided that:

(a) Except as provided in sub-paragraph (b) the open arcade for its entire length shall adjoin a street. Such arcade shall be open to the street except for piers, columns or arches.

(b) The open arcade shall not extend to a depth greater than 25 feet measured from the building line abutting the street upon which the arcade faces; nor shall such arcade have a depth of less than four feet measured from the facade of the building facing such street.

(c) The floor area ratio credit shall apply only to those areas embraced within the perimeter of the open arcade. Vehicular access across an arcade area to a garage shall not be prohibited; provided, all area required for such access shall not be counted as gross floor area credit.

(d) An open arcade shall occupy the entire side of the building on which it is located.

(e) An open arcade for a building on a corner lot shall open onto each street frontage for the full depth of the arcade; but such arcade shall not be required to occupy more than one side of the building.

(f) The open arcade area should be appropriately lighted.

(g) Except as provided in sub-paragraph (c) and in paragraph 7515.13, the use of arcade areas shall be restricted for pedestrians only.

7515.13 The Board of Zoning Adjustment, subject to compliance with Section 7515.1 and the introductory language of Section 7515.11 may waive or modify the requirements set forth under Section 7515.11 if in accordance

with the intent and purpose of Section 7515.1. It is further intended under this section to prohibit those types of arcades which do not provide adequate open area to the street but are designed primarily for interior arcade arrangement.

7515.13 The Board of Zoning Adjustment may authorize in SP and C Districts the installation of a specific use if it is permitted in the District in which such arcade is located provided that:

(a) The size and character of such use will not substantially impair the intent and purpose of this Section.

7515.14 The additional gross floor area permitted under Section 7515.1 shall not be counted in determining the amount of off-street parking spaces or loading berths. (Case 62-33 of 12-27-62)

ARTICLE 75

MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

Section 7501 - Site Plans for Large-Scale Planned Developments of Five Acres or More

7501.1 The purpose of this Section is to encourage, in one or more Districts irrespective of use restrictions required by general zoning regulations, the design of well-planned, large-scale residential, institutional, or commercial developments, or a combination thereof which will offer a variety of building types or more attractive and efficient overall planning and design without sacrificing creative and imaginative planning. Under this Section well planned shopping centers, large-scale residential developments, and other types of uses or combination thereof might be developed with greater flexibility than otherwise would be possible under these regulations in areas zoned as Residence, Special Purpose or Commercial or combinations thereof. The procedure and standards established herein are intended to permit, with Zoning Commission approval, diversification in the use, size, type and location of structures, and to improve circulation and site facilities while at the same time insuring adequate standards relating to public health, safety, welfare and convenience in the use and occupancy of buildings and other facilities in planned building groups. (Case No. 61-3 of 5-23-61)

7501.2 Each application (in duplicate) for a large-scale planned development shall be filed with the staff of the Zoning Commission. When filed such application shall certify that: (Case No. 61-3 of 5-23-61)

7501.21 The area to be included within the development contains five or more acres: (Case No. 61-3 of 5-23-61)

7501.22 The names and known addresses of each property owner included within the area to be developed are designated and listed in the application filed; and (Case No. 61-3 of 5-23-61)

7501.12 The gross floor area of all buildings does not exceed the sum of the total permitted within that portion of each Residence, Special Purpose, or Commercial District under the floor area ratio limitations established by these regulations for each district, provided:

(a) The maximum permitted gross floor area for all uses in R-5-D, Special Purpose and C-3-S Districts shall be 6.0; and the maximum permitted gross floor area for R-1, R-2, or R-3 Districts shall be determined by multiplying the area of those portions of the designated area located in an R-1 or R-2 District by a floor area ratio of 0.9 and those portions of the designated area located in an R-3 District by a floor area ratio of 0.6;

(b) No building exceeds the maximum height of buildings permitted in the least restrictive district in which any of the designated area is located; provided that in the Special Purpose and C-3-S Districts a maximum height of 130 feet may be permitted;

(c) No building located in a Residence District exceeds on any one lot the bulk limitations established by the floor area ratio and percentage of lot occupancy requirements of the R-5-D District;

(d) No buildings located in a Special Purpose or Commercial District exceeds on any one lot the bulk limitations established by the floor area ratio requirements of the Special Purpose District under (a) above;

(e) Yards and courts for each residential or institutional building shall be of an area and dimension not less than the requirements for each such building in the R-5-D District; and yards and courts for each commercial building shall be of an area and dimension not less than the requirements for each such building in the Special Purpose District; and,

(f) Off-street parking spaces and loading berths for each residential or institutional building shall be provided in an amount not less than that required for each such building in an R-5-D District; and off-street parking spaces and loading berths for each commercial building shall be provided in an amount not less than that required for each such building in the C-3-S District. (Case No. 61-9 of 5-23-61)

7501.2 An applicant for a large-scale planned development may submit his application in preliminary form, and in sufficient detail to permit a clear understanding of the problems involved. In addition to the certification required by 7501.1 he shall submit: (Case No. 61-9 of 5-23-61)

7501.21 Five copies of a site plan or plans drawn to scale showing:

(a) the proposed use, location, dimensions, number of stories and approximate height of each building;

(b) The approximate area and dimensions of each lot proposed for each building and the exact area of the total site;

(c) The approximate lot occupancy of the building on each lot to be used for residential purposes and the appropriate floor area ratio of each building;

(d) The location and approximate number of all off-street parking spaces and loading berths;

(e) The topography of the development area and the elevations of streets and alleys bounding and within the site area;

(f) The location of existing or proposed public and private rights-of-ways and easements bounding and intersecting the designated area, and indications as to which of the right-of-ways or easements are to be continued, relocated or abandoned; and,

(g) The approximate number, size and type of stores; the approximate amount of area for offices; the approximate number of families or persons to be accommodated in each type of structure; and the appropriate number and scope of commercial adjuncts for hotel or apartment use. (Case No. 61-3 of 5-23-61)

7501.32 Five copies of a map showing the existing zoning of the designated area and the zoning of all property within 200 feet of the proposed development area. (Case No. 61-3 of 5-23-61)

7501.4 Within 5 business days after receipt of an application for a large-scale planned development the Planning Officer of the Zoning Commission shall submit the application and accompanying documents to the National Capital Planning Commission for review and report. In reviewing each application the National Capital Planning Commission should give consideration to: (Case No. 61-3 of 5-23-61)

7501.41 The intent of Paragraph 7501.33, the provisions of which are to be construed as maximum permissive dispositions to be applied as minimum standards only when clearly in furtherance of objectives outlined in the preamble. Standards may be graduated or varied if they reflect the intent and purpose of criteria under general zoning requirements of the districts in which the planned development is proposed; but no application should be recommended the purpose of which is the circumvention of such requirements. (Case No. 61-3 of 5-23-61)

7501.42 The National Capital Planning Commission should also give consideration to whether:

(a) the provision for light and air is in all respects adequate for the proposed development;

(b) Properly planned open spaces, parking spaces and loading berths are provided within the planned area;

(c) Any deviation from the use, height, area, density, or bulk provisions of general zoning regulations will have any adverse effect

on the use of neighboring property in accordance with the same plan; and,

(d) The Plans for the development are consistent with the comprehensive plan for the City as a whole. (Case No. 61-3 of 5-23-61)

7301.3 Prior to the submission of its report to the Zoning Commission, the National Capital Planning Commission may require the applicant to provide additional plans or other information deemed necessary for a comprehensive report. These may be submitted direct to the reporting Commission provided a copy of each is also filed with the office of Zoning Commission; but if a model is required or submitted, duplicates need not be provided.

After report by the Zoning Commission by the National Capital Planning Commission, the plans for such development shall not be approved unless a public hearing thereon is first held by the Zoning Commission. (Case No. 61-3 of 5-23-61)

88TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. J. RES. 1052

IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

JUNE 24, 1964

Mr. TEAGUE of Texas introduced the following joint resolution; which was referred to the Committee on House Administration

JOINT RESOLUTION

Authorizing the First Cavalry Division Association to erect a monument in the District of Columbia.

Resolved by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, That the Secretary of the Interior shall grant authority to the First Cavalry Division Association to erect a monument in the District of Columbia to commemorate the role of the division in World War II, the Korean conflict, and on the Korean truce line.

SEC. 2. The materials, design, and the site of such memorial shall be approved by the Commission of Fine Arts. The United States shall be put to no expense in the erection of such memorial.

I

EXHIBIT 9

88TH CONGRESS
2D SESSION

H. J. RES. 1052

JOINT RESOLUTION

Authorizing the First Cavalry Division Association to erect a monument in the District of Columbia.

By Mr. TEAGUE of Texas

JUNE 24, 1964

Referred to the Committee on House Administration

MEETING OF THE COMMISSION OF FINE ARTS
15 September 1964

AM
10:15 I. CONVENE, ROOM 7000, INTERIOR DEPARTMENT BUILDING

II. ADMINISTRATION

Date of Next Meeting:
Executive Session: 20 October 1964, 10:15 a.m.
Open Session: 21 October 1964, 9:30 a.m.

III. SUBMISSIONS-REVIEWS-INTERVIEWS

10:30 1. General Services Administration, Public Buildings Service

Federal Office Building No. 5 - Revised Design

(Mr. J. Rowland Snyder, Director, Design Division, GSA, PBS; Mr. James Jones, Coordinator, GSA, PBS; Mr. Nathaniel Curtis, Mr. Bernard Grad, Mr. David Dibner, Architects)

11:00 2. District of Columbia Government, Department of Licenses and Inspections

Shipstead-Luce Act

(1) Office Building, 500 North Capital Street, N.W. - Revised Design

(Mr. Alan Lockman, Architect; Mr. J.P. Green, Assistant Superintendent of Licenses and Permits)

11:30 3. National Capital Downtown Committee

Air-Rights Structures, Center Leg, Inner Loop

(Mr. Melvin F. Levine, Chief Planner; Mr. Robert L. Morris, Assistant to the Executive Director and Senior Planner - Transportation)

12:00 4. Department of the Interior, National Park Service, National Capital Region

a. Franklin Delano Roosevelt Memorial Boulder, Reservation 36, Indiana Ave., Pennsylvania Ave., & 9th St., N.W.

(Mr. Robert Horne, Associate Regional Director, NPS)

b. Harvard Street Overpass, Rock Creek and Potomac Parkway

PM
12:30 LUNCH

5. American Battle Monuments Commission

   a. Selection of Designer for Monuments at Tarawa, Wake, etc.

   b. Selection of Architect for Utah Beach Memorial

6. Department of the Navy

   a. Operations and Technical Services Building, Naval Research Laboratory - Revised Design

   b. Photographic Center, Naval Station - Proposed Extension

7. Department of the Army

   a. Office of the Chief of Support Services

      Design for Development of S. Post, Ft. Myer, as part of Arlington National Cemetery

   b. Institute of Heraldry

      (1) Naval Medal of Achievement - Proposed Design

      (2) Naval Reserve Meritorious Service Medal-Proposed Design

8. Department of State

   Presentation of Statue of Lincoln to Mexico

9. District of Columbia Government

   a. Department of Highways and Traffic

      Ninth Street Expressway Under the Mall-Proposed Design

   b. Department of Buildings and Grounds

      Gladys McGogney Elementary School, Wheeler Road and Mississippi Avenue, N.E. - Revised Design

   c. Department of Licenses and Inspections

      Old Georgetown Act Building Applications - Appendix 2
      Shipstead Luce Act Building Applications - Appendix 1

10. General Services Administration, Public Buildings Service

a. National Air and Space Museum - Revised Design

b. Government Printing Office Field Plant, Navy Yard Annex - Proposed Design

11. National Capital Planning Commission

Building Heights Study

IV. LEGISLATION

H.J.Res.1052-6/24/64-Mr.Teague-88thC-Rpt. Rqstd.

Authorizing the First Cavalry Division Association to erect a monument in the District of Columbia